ISSN Print: 2664-7559
ISSN Online: 2664-7567
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.19
IJSHPE 2025; 7(2): 426-429
www.physicaleducationjournal.in
Received: 10-10-2025
Accepted: 10-11-2025

Anusha KG
Research Scholar
Department of Studies in Physical Education and Sports Science Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women's University Vijayapura, Karnataka, India

Dr. Kiran GN
Assistant Professor,
Department of Studies in Physical Education and Sports Science Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women's University. Vijayapura, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
Anusha KG
Research Scholar
Department of Studies in Physical Education and Sports Science Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women's University Vijayapura, Karnataka, India

Variations in Motor Skill Proficiency between Urban and Rural Children: A Comparative Approach

Anusha KG and Kiran GN

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26647559.2025.v7.i2f.281

Abstract
This research investigates the differences in motor skill proficiency between children from urban and rural settings through a comparative analysis. The aim was to uncover disparities in fundamental motor skills—particularly locomotor and object-control abilities—based on their respective environments. The study involved 40 children aged 8 to 10 years, with an equal representation of 20 from urban areas and 20 from rural areas. The assessment employed the Test of Gross Motor Development-Second Edition (TGMD-2), created by Ulrich (2000). The findings revealed that rural children excelled in locomotor skills, demonstrating superior abilities in movements such as galloping, hopping, leaping, jumping, and sliding, compared to their urban counterparts. For object-control skills, a noteworthy difference was seen only in the catching skill, where rural children again outperformed those in urban settings. These results underscore the profound impact of environmental factors and the availability of physical activity opportunities on the development of motor skills in children. In conclusion, the study affirms that children in rural environments benefit from more diverse movement experiences, while there is a pressing need for urban children to engage in more structured physical play to boost their motor skills proficiency.

Keywords: Motor skill proficiency, Urban children, Rural children, Locomotor skills, Object-control skills, TGMD-2

Introduction
Motor skill proficiency is not just a standalone ability; it constitutes a vital and indispensable aspect of a child's overall growth, development, and well-being. This concept encompasses a wide range of coordinated movements, which are necessary for various tasks that children encounter throughout daily living, including activities related to play, schooling, and various forms of physical engagement. The pathways to developing these motor skills are complex and multifaceted, influenced by a rich tapestry of biological, environmental, and socio-cultural factors, as elucidated by Gallahue and Ozmun (2006) [1].

The physical environment in which a child is situated plays an instrumental role in shaping their motor competence, serving as a platform for various opportunities. For instance, the availability of safe spaces for outdoor play, access to well-equipped playgrounds, and involvement in structured physical education programs significantly contribute to the development of these essential skills (Stodden *et al*., 2008) [3]. The contrast between urban and rural settings presents a considerable variation in these crucial opportunities. On one hand, children residing in urban areas might benefit from better sports facilities and well-organized physical activity programs designed to enhance their motor skills. However, this advantage is often counterbalanced by drawbacks such as limited space for unstructured play and a higher prevalence of sedentary activities, particularly increased screen time, which can detract from physical engagement.

Conversely, children in rural areas may have more frequent opportunities for unstructured outdoor play and engage in various forms of physical labor, which might facilitate their motor skill development in different, perhaps less formal, ways (Hardy *et al*., 2010) [4]. This divergence in access and exposure highlights how geographic location and environmental factors can lead to varied developmental trajectories concerning motor skills.

A number of investigative studies have focused on the differences in motor performance among children from diverse geographic backgrounds. For example, Payne and Isaacs (2017) [2] underscored the significant impact that both environmental stimulation and socio-economic status can have on the progression of children's bodily and motor skill development. Additionally, research conducted by Hardy *et al*. (2010) [4] revealed that distinct differences in

physical activity patterns and lifestyle choices between urban and rural children can directly correlate with measurable differences in their fundamental motor skills. This line of inquiry opens the door to understanding how not only the physical environment but also socio-economic contexts can dramatically shape the motor capabilities of children.

Understanding these variations in motor skill development is imperative for educators, policymakers, and health professionals alike; as such knowledge can guide the creation of targeted interventions and the formation of inclusive physical education programs. By identifying existing disparities in motor skill proficiency between urban and rural children, stakeholders can work towards promoting equitable opportunities for all children's physical growth and fostering lifelong participation in physical activities (Ulrich, 2000) [5]. This, in turn, paves the way for healthy lifestyle choices that can have lasting impacts on children's overall health, social interactions, and academic success.

Introduction

Motor skill development constitutes a vital component in the overall growth and maturation of children, impacting various facets of their lives, including their physical health, social interactions, cognitive capabilities, and emotional well-being. As children navigate their formative years, the acquisition of fundamental movement skills—such as running, jumping, throwing, catching, and balancing—serves as a crucial building block for fostering lifelong engagement in physical activities and sports (Gallahue & Ozmun, 2006) [1]. This repertoire of skills, commonly referred to as motor skill proficiency, is not static; it unfolds progressively through a dynamic interplay between the child and the surrounding environment, underpinned by opportunities for practice and experiences.

A myriad of environmental factors significantly influences the development of a child's motor competence. These factors include the availability of open spaces conducive to play, the quality and accessibility of physical education programs in schools, and the encouragement and support from parents and caregivers (Stodden *et al*., 2008) [3]. The environment in which a child resides often delineates the opportunities for physical activity they encounter. For instance, children living in urban settings experience a structured environment that often boasts sports facilities and organized training programs. However, these benefits may come at the cost of restricted opportunities for free and unstructured play due to urban congestion and an increase in digital distractions, which can detract from physical activity levels (Payne & Isaacs, 2017) [2].

Conversely, children in rural areas frequently find themselves engaging more with outdoor activities, benefiting from the abundance of natural spaces that promote unstructured playtime. Yet, these rural settings may pose challenges in the form of limited access to specialized sports equipment and the absence of formal physical education curricula. This juxtaposition highlights the contrasting conditions that influence children's physical activity levels and, consequently, their motor skill development.

In previous comparative research, notable attention has been directed toward the roles of socio-economic status, lifestyle choices, and environmental accessibility in shaping children's physical fitness and motor performance. Nevertheless, findings across studies have exhibited inconsistencies, with certain investigations revealing that rural children demonstrate higher motor proficiency attributed to increased physical activity options, while others indicate that urban children outperform their rural counterparts due to structured training and access to various resources.

Given this background, the current study, entitled "Variations in Motor Skill Proficiency between Urban and Rural Children: A Comparative Approach," is designed to rigorously analyze and compare the levels of fundamental motor skills between children hailing from urban and rural environments. Through this research, we aim to unearth the key environmental, social, and educational elements that contribute to the observed variations in motor skill proficiency. Ultimately, this study aspires to provide valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and community leaders, equipping them with the knowledge needed to foster equitable motor development across all children, regardless of their geographical or socioeconomic context. By addressing these disparities, our findings could play a crucial role in promoting holistic development and encouraging active lifestyles in children everywhere.

Objectives

1. To evaluate the level of fundamental motor skill proficiency in children from urban versus rural settings.
2. To analyze the differences in motor skill components—such as locomotor skills and object control skills—between the two groups.
3. To investigate how environmental factors (including available play spaces, opportunities for physical activity, and access to physical education) impact motor development.

Methodology

Research Design

A comparative descriptive approach was utilized to examine differences in motor skill proficiency between children from urban and rural settings.

Sample

The research involved a total of 40 children, split evenly between 20 urban and 20 rural participants, all aged between 8 and 10 years, sourced from selected schools in Karnataka. To ensure gender balance, an equal representation of boys and girls was included.

Tool Used

The assessment was conducted using the Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2) as developed by Ulrich (2000). This instrument evaluated the following skills:

- Locomotor skills: running, galloping, hopping, leaping, horizontal jumping, and sliding.
- Object-control skills: striking, dribbling, catching, kicking, throwing, and rolling.

Data Collection Procedure

Data were gathered on school playgrounds following established testing protocols. Prior to the final assessment, each child underwent practice trials. The average and standard deviation for each skill were calculated and examined utilizing the independent samples t-test.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted to evaluate the average scores of urban and rural groups for each skill variable. Significance was assessed at $p < 0.05$.

Results

In order to evaluate and compare the proficiency of motor skills between children residing in urban environments and

those living in rural settings, a series of independent samples t-tests were performed. These analyses were conducted separately for two distinct categories of motor skills: locomotor skills and object-control skills, drawing upon data sourced from the Test of Gross Motor Development, Second Edition (TGMD-2) as established by Ulrich in 2000.

1. Object-Control Skills

The findings from the analysis pertaining to object-control skills, which include fundamental motor tasks such as striking, dribbling, kicking, throwing, and rolling, indicated a general lack of significant differences across most of these variables. Specifically, the t-tests showed no statistically significant disparities in the mean scores for the variables of strike ($t = -1.08$, $p = 0.287$), dribble ($t = 1.18$, $p = 0.246$), kick ($t = -0.37$, $p = 0.712$), throw ($t = -1.39$, $p = 0.170$), and roll ($t = -1.57$, $p = 0.125$). Thus, these skills appear to be relatively similar among urban and rural children.

However, the analysis did reveal a noteworthy exception in the catch variable, where a statistically significant difference was identified ($t = -3.290$, $p = 0.002$). In this particular instance, rural children demonstrated a higher mean score ($M = 5.75$) compared to their urban counterparts ($M = 5.00$). This finding strongly suggests that rural children exhibit superior hand–eye coordination and reaction capabilities, which may be attributed to their engagement in a variety of outdoor games and unstructured physical activities. Such activities often necessitate frequent catching or manipulation of objects, enhancing their overall motor skill development.

The following table summarizes the mean and standard deviation for each variable, along with the calculated t-values and significance levels.

Table 1: Group Statstics

	Area	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std.Error Mean
Strike	Urban	20	7.3000	1.26074	.28191
	Rural	20	7.7500	1.37171	.30672
Dribble	Urban	20	6.1500	1.22582	.27410
	Rural	20	5.5000	2.13985	.47848
Catch	Urban	20	5.5000	.79472	.17770
	Rural	20	5.7500	.63867	.14281
Kick	Urban	20	5.6500	1.08942	.24360
	Rural	20	5.8000	1.43637	.32118
Throw	Urban	20	6.4000	.94032	.21026
	Rural	20	6.9000	1.29371	.28928
Roll	Urban	20	6.1500	.93330	.20869
	Rural	20	6.7000	1.26074	.28191
Total Object control	Urban	20	36.9500	5.07289	1.13433
	Rural	20	38.5500	6.04784	1.35234

Table 2: Independent samples test

	T-test for Equality of means			
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference
Strike	-1.080	38	.287	-.45000
Dribble	1.179	38	.246	.65000
Catch	-3.290	38	.002	-.75000
Kick	-.372	38	.712	-.15000
Throw	-1.398	38	.170	-.50000
Roll	-1.568	38	.125	-.55000
Total Object Control	-.906	38	.370	-1.60000

Locomotor Skills

In terms of locomotor skills, which encompass a range of fundamental movement patterns such as running, galloping, hopping, leaping, horizontal jumping, and sliding, the results indicated significant disparities across almost all analyzed variables, with the exception of running. Specifically, rural children consistently outperformed their urban counterparts in the measures of gallop, hop, leap, horizontal jump, and slide. The mean scores reflect these differences: rural children excelled with higher scores in galloping ($M = 7.25$ compared to $M = 5.95$ for urban), hopping ($M = 8.55$ compared to $M = 7.15$), leaping ($M = 5.55$ compared to $M = 4.70$), horizontal jumping ($M = 7.10$ compared to $M = 5.90$), and sliding ($M = 7.60$ compared to $M = 5.85$).

This pattern of results signifies that rural children may possess enhanced gross motor skills and coordination abilities, potentially stemming from their greater exposure to outdoor play, varied physical environment, and the necessity of navigating natural terrains. Their daily routines often involve more physical activities, such as walking long distances and engaging in various forms of outdoor play, which can contribute to the development of these vital motor skills.

Table 3: The table below provides a comprehensive overview of the results in this domain

	Area	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std.Error Mean
Run	Urban	20	6.9000	.96791	.21643
	Rural	20	7.3500	1.30888	.29267
Gallop	Urban	20	5.9500	.82558	.18460
	Rural	20	7.1500	1.11803	.25000
Hop	Urban	20	8.5500	1.18210	.26433
	Rural	20	4.7000	1.09904	.24575
Leap	Urban	20	5.5500	.80131	.17918
	Rural	20	5.9000	1.05006	.23480
Horizontal Jump	Urban	20	7.1000	.96791	.21643
	Rural	20	5.8500	1.16529	.26057
Slide	Urban	20	7.6000	.98809	.22094
	Rural	20	36.1500	.75394	.16859
Total Locomotor control	Urban	20	43.5000	3.93734	.88042
	Rural	20	38.5500	3.99342	.89295

Table 4: Independent samples test

	T-test for Equality of Means			
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference
Run	-1.236	38	.224	-.45000
Gallop	-4.183	38	.000	-1.30000
Hop	-3.879	38	.000	-1.40000
Leap	-2.878	38	.007	-.85000
Horizontal Jump	-3.543	38	.001	-1.20000
Slide	-6.297	38	.000	-1.75000
Locomotor total	-5.861	38	.000	-7.35000

Discussion

The findings from this study provide compelling insights into the distinct differences in motor skill proficiency among children hailing from urban regions versus rural regions. A noteworthy aspect of the results is that both groups of children displayed comparable performance levels in object-control tasks, which involve movement tasks like tossing, receiving and hitting objects. However, a significant divergence emerged when locomotor abilities were evaluated; rural children consistently demonstrated superior skills in this area, excelling in fundamental movement activities that involve traveling through space, such as running, hopping, jumping, galloping, and sliding.

These observed outcomes are in strong agreement with the research conducted by Hardy *et al*. (2010) [4], who suggested that children who are afforded many opportunities for outdoor play tend to develop enhanced gross motor coordination skills. Their research highlights the importance

of free play in natural environments, which fosters a range of physical competencies. Likewise, Stodden *et al*. (2008) [3] contributed to this dialogue by emphasizing that children's exposure to varied physical activity contexts and their surrounding environments have a direct correlation to their motor competence development.

The absence of significant differences in object-control skills between the urban and rural cohorts may be largely attributed to the increasing accessibility of indoor sports and recreational activities in urban educational institutions. These structured environments create opportunities for children to practice and refine their skills through organized physical education programs, thus enabling them to achieve a baseline level of proficiency. In contrast, rural children's heightened performance in catching tasks and locomotor skills can be traced back to their more frequent and spontaneous engagement in unstructured physical activities. Such informal play not only nurtures their knack for balance but also enhances agility and coordination, as asserted by Payne and Isaacs (2017) [2].

Furthermore, the results of this study lend substantial support to the developmental theory posited by Gallahue and Ozmun (2006) [1], which contends that the environmental affordances and movement opportunities available to children are critical determinants of their overall motor proficiency. In rural settings, the physical environment typically consists of open fields, diverse natural terrains, and active daily routines that encourage a broad range of motor skill opportunities. Conversely, urban environments often present challenges, predominantly characterized by confined spaces and a lifestyle increasingly dominated by technology. This dichotomy suggests that the opportunities available for physical activity, as well as the nature of those activities, affected by local environmental conditions and geography and environment.

Summary of Findings

Upon summarizing the study's findings, it becomes evident that rural children outperformed their urban counterparts in various locomotor skills, achieving higher mean scores in critical movement competencies such as galloping, hopping, leaping, jumping, and sliding. In the realm of object-control skills, only a singular significant difference was identified regarding the skill of catching, again favoring rural children. Meanwhile, urban children displayed moderate levels of proficiency in these skills, albeit with a noticeable limitation in their exposure to natural and varied movement opportunities. The environmental settings and lifestyle factors inherent to each group are pivotal in shaping the development of motor skills, underscoring the intricate relationship between a child's surroundings and their physical development trajectory.

Conclusion

The primary objective of the current research was to investigate and analyze the differences in motor skill proficiency, specifically focusing on locomotor and object-control skills, between children residing in urban and rural environments. Through a rigorous comparative analysis, the results obtained from this study highlight a significant finding: rural children demonstrate markedly superior locomotor abilities compared to their urban peers. Key locomotor skills assessed in this research included galloping, hopping, leaping, executing horizontal jumps, and sliding. The superior performance of rural children in these areas appears to be strongly linked to their frequent engagement in a natural, physically stimulating rural setting. This environment encourages greater participation in unstructured outdoor activities, thereby fostering enhanced motor development through varied movement experiences.

While the data did not reveal substantial differences in object-control skills between the two groups, there was a slight advantage noted among rural children when it came to catching tasks. This phenomenon suggests that the frequent opportunities for physical play and spontaneous movement experiences available to rural children play a crucial role in developing these specific skills. On the other hand, urban children—despite having better access to organized sports programs and physical education facilities—displayed lower overall proficiency in motor skills. This discrepancy may be largely attributed to the reduced opportunities for unstructured play and the increasing prevalence of sedentary lifestyles often found in urban settings.

These findings resonate with the earlier research conducted by Stodden *et al*. (2008) [3] and Gallahue & Ozmun (2006) [1], reinforcing the notion that the environmental context and previous movement experiences serve as fundamental determinants of motor development in children. The pronounced differences observed between urban and rural children underscore an urgent need for emphasis on creating supportive environments that actively promote child engagement in physical activities, exploration, and movement—essentials for optimal motor development.

References

1. Gallahue DL, Ozmun JC. Understanding motor development: Infants, children, adolescents, adults. McGraw-Hill; 2006.
2. Payne VG, Isaacs LD. Human motor development: A lifespan approach. Routledge; 2017.
3. Stodden DF, Goodway JD, Langendorfer SJ, *et al*. A developmental perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity. Quest. 2008;60(2):290–306.
4. Hardy LL, Reinten-Reynolds T, Espinel P, Zask A, Okely AD. Prevalence and correlates of low fundamental movement skill competency in children. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. 2010;13(5):503–508.
5. Ulrich DA. Test of gross motor development. 2nd ed. PRO-ED; 2000.
6. Cohen EK, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff CR, Callister R, Lubans DR. Fundamental movement skills and physical activity among children living in low-income communities: A cross-sectional study. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2014;11:49.
7. Liu D, Huang Z, Liu Y, Zhou Y. The role of fundamental movement skills on children's physical activity during different segments of the school day. BMC Public Health. 2024;24:1283.
8. Kerkez Fİ, Tutal V. Effect of BMI on fundamental motor skill proficiency among 9–10 years-old Turkish children. International Journal of Sport Exercise and Training Sciences (IJSETS). 2020;6(4):110–116. https://doi.org/10.18826/useeabd.816482
9. Shams A, Vameghi R. Relationship between age, gender and body mass index with performance of fundamental motor skills among children aged 7–10 years. Iran J Health Educ Health Promot. 2018;6(1):39–52.